





Birmingham IDL Pilot

Introduction

After receiving an email from International Dyslexia Learning Solutions (IDL) offering free training, a member of staff attended a session which resulted in IDL delivering a training session to all the teachers with in Birmingham's Pupil and School Support service on the 20th March 2018. The service liaised with IDL in order to offer an opportunity to Birmingham schools to pilot the IDL programme for a period of ten weeks with a focus on reading and spelling difficulties for Special Educational Needs pupils.

20 schools across Birmingham took part in the IDL pilot study during April to July 2018.

Implementation

Schools were required to make the following commitments in order to take part in the pilot:-

- Must commit to training and regular timetabled usage of IDL.
- Minimum of 10 pupils to take part
- Had to attend ½ day training session
- Pupils would use IDL for a minimum 4 x 15 minute sessions per week, for a total of one hour per week.
- Schools needed to use the Birmingham Language and Literacy Toolkit to track progress to provide pre and post assessment information.
- Computer and headphones were required with internet access.

All schools attended training on the 17th April 2018. Initial tracking data was submitted on the 23rd April 2018 and final tracking data on the 16th July 2018. Pupils had a maximum time of 10 weeks with access to the programme.

Schools were asked to track pupils using the following threads of Birmingham's Language and Literacy Toolkit, focussing on the spelling element of the descriptors:-







- Reading Phonics Skills
- Writing Spelling
- Reading Understanding (Tracking this was optional)

Of the sixteen schools which completed the pilot successfully thirteen were primary and three were secondary. A further four schools took part but no data was available at the time of writing this report.

General Information regarding the Pilot Cohort

Data regarding progress was collected for all the pupils who took part in the pilot, however, information regarding the groups represented by the study was not provided by all settings. See Appendix One for detailed data regarding the specific groups represented in the Pilot Study.

A total of 160 pupils were tracked using the Birmingham Language and Literacy toolkit. 81.3%% were of primary age and 18.7% were secondary.

Gender – Data was provided for 147 pupils, of these 32% were girls and 68% boys.

Year Groups – 14% of pupils in the pilot were in Years 1 and 2 (KS1), 67% in Years 3 – 6 (KS2). 15% in Years 7 - 9 (KS3) and 4% in Years 10 & 11 (KS4).

SEN Code of Practice – Information was obtained regarding the level of support identified for the pupils on the SEN Code of Practice. Some pupils who participated in the pilot were not identified as SEN. This information was provided for 150 (93.7%) of the pupils in the pilot. Of this 150, 76% were identified as SEN support and 6.6% as having an Education Health Care Plan. To note, 17.4% were not identified as having SEN needs.

Pupil Premium – Data regarding eligibility for Pupil Premium was provided for 149 (93.1%) of pupils in the pilot. Of these 49.6% were eligible this additional funding.

Ethnic Groups – Data regarding ethnic groups was provided for 139 (86.8%) of pupils in the pilot. Of this 139, 47.5% were White British/English, 11% were Other Pakistani, 5.3% were White and Black Caribbean and 5.2% were Gypsy/Roma with







the remaining 31% spread across another 25 categories. (For full details see Appendix One)

English as an Additional Language (EAL) – Information around identification of EAL was provided for 36 pupils.

Assessment

Schools were asked to track pupils using the Birmingham Language and Literacy Toolkit.

2 bands progress over a year is considered to maintain the current gap between them and their peers.

3 bands progress over a year is considered to be 'accelerated' progress and begin to close the gap between them and their peers.

As the pilot took place over the equivalent of 10 weeks then any progress identified on the threads was considered as accelerated (closing the gap) progress.

Results

The results of the pilot were collated in a number of ways:-

- The number of bands progress made by all pupils in the pilot
- The number of bands progress made by girls
- The number of bands progress made by boys
- The number of bands progress made by pupils identified as SEN support
- The number of bands progress made by pupils identified as having an EHCP
- The number of bands progress made by pupils identified as not SEN
- The number of bands progress made by pupils identified as AOPK
- The number of bands progress made by pupils identified as WBRI/WENG
- The number of bands progress made by pupils identified as MWBC







- The number of bands progress made by pupils identified as WROM
- The number of band progress made by pupils identified as in receipt of Pupil
 Premium funding
- The number of bands progress made by pupils identified as EAL

Tables detailing the results outlined above can be found in Appendix Two

Results were also analysed in order to compare the progress made by pupils based on their starting points on the Language and Literacy Toolkit threads:-

- Phonics
- Understanding (optional)
- Spelling

Detailed results can be found in the tables in Appendix Three

Summary and Analysis of the Results

Table to compare the accelerated progress made by different groups			
Group	% of the group making accelerated progress within the Phonics thread	% of the group making accelerated progress within the Understanding thread	% of the group making accelerated progress within the spelling thread
Total Pilot (160)	64%	74%	57%
SEN Support	64%	73%	59%
Not SEN	62%	90%	58%







Boys	61%	<mark>70%</mark>	<mark>56%</mark>
Girls	<mark>71%</mark>	77%	<mark>65%</mark>
WBRI	<mark>57%</mark>	<mark>52%</mark>	<mark>48%</mark>
AOPK	71%	100%	57%
MWBC	86%	80%	<mark>86%</mark>
WROM	<mark>43%</mark>	100%	71%
Pupil Premium	<mark>59%</mark>	<mark>73%</mark>	<mark>53%</mark>
EAL	61%	93%	61%

Top 3 groups that made accelerated progress

Lowest 3 groups that made the least accelerated progress

The results of the pilot saw high numbers of pupils making accelerated progress particularly in the phonics thread. -There were some differences between the results of different groups but it would appear that the program worked for all. Some differences to note are:-

- Pupils whose ethnicity was recorded as Gypsy/Roma showed lower levels of progress in the phonics thread.
- Pupils identified as being in receipt of Pupil Premium generally did not show the same levels of progress as other groups across all of the threads.
- Girls, along with pupils identified as White and Black Caribbean and Other Pakistani showed greater levels of progress in the phonics and spelling thread.
- Pupils identified as Gypsy/Roma, other Pakistani and EAL showed greater levels of progress in the understanding thread.

Analysis of progress made against starting points







Progress was analysed in relation to Language and Literacy Toolkit starting points to identify whether this impacted on the amount of progress made. (See Appendix Three)

Phonic Thread

For the pupils who were assessed pre-intervention as bands 1- 4, 6 - 10, 12, 13 and 15, 65% made accelerated (1 band or more). Those on entry bands 1 and 15, 100% of pupils made accelerated progress.

Pupils who started at bands 5, 11 and 14 were not as successful, with a lower range of accelerated progress being made. It should be noted that there were smaller numbers of pupils recorded at these bands so this data is not as reliable. (See Appendix Three for details of around specific bands).

Understanding Thread

The results on the understanding thread were very good as a whole with 76% of pupils making accelerated (1 band or more) progress. Within this a further 32% of pupils made between 2 – 7 bands of progress within the intervention period.

100% of pupils whose starting points were bands 5, 7 and 13 made accelerated progress, with 75-85% of pupils making accelerated progress whose starting points were bands 8 and Band 9.

Those pupils whose starting point was band 7 appeared to do particularly well with 3 pupils making 1 band progress but also 5 pupils making between **2 – 7 bands accelerated progress**.

Spelling Thread

The_results for the spelling thread were also good, with 58% of pupils making accelerated progress in entry bands 2 – 11 ranging from 100% at band 2, to 50% at bands 4 and 10. The only entry band which had below 50% of pupils not making







accelerated progress was band 11. Pupils starting with bands 7, 8 and 9 had 24 pupils making between **2 – 7 bands accelerated progress**.

Conclusion

The trial of the use of IDL by schools in Birmingham has been a positive one. Given that pupils had a maximum of 10 weeks using the programme the number of pupils who made accelerated progress was high particularly when tracked against the phonic thread. Although the percentage of pupils is higher for making accelerated progress for understanding, this was an optional assessment and the percentages are based on fewer pupils meaning the results are not comparable.

The results suggest that this program works well for the majority of pupils who are currently working on targets from Birmingham's Language and Literacy Toolkit. It also appears that the program has had better results for pupils who are female or have a recorded ethnicity of other Pakistani, Mixed white Caribbean or Gypsy/Roma. Those who are identified as SEN make similar progress to those who are not.

Assessment analysis also indicated that the programme had a greater impact on the progress of phonic skills related to reading compared to those with a focus on spelling.

Appendix One

Data regarding groups Represented in the Pilot Study

Gender

Gender	Number of	% of total pilot	% of those where
	pupils identified		information was
			provided
Girls	48	30%	32%







Boys	99	64%	68%
Data not provided*	13	6%	

Year Groups

Year Group	Number of Pupils	% of the pilot
1	7	4%
2	16	10%
3	27	17%
4	28	18%
5	37	23%
6	15	9%
7	8	5%
8	9	6%
9	6	4%
10	7	4%

SEN Code of Practice

SEN Code of	Number of	% of total pilot	% of those where
Practice	pupils identified		information was
			provided
EHCP	10	6%	6.6%
SEN Support	114	71.5%	76%
Not on Code	26	16.5%	17.4%







Data not provided*	10	6%	

Free School Meals/Pupil Premium

Identified as Free School Meals	Number of pupils	% of the pilot	% of those where information was provided
Yes	74	46.3%	49.6%
No	75	46.8%	50.4%
Data not provided*	11	6.9%	

Ethnic Groups Represented

Ethnicity Codes	Number of	% of the pilot	% of those where
	pupils identified	identified	information was
			provided
ABAN	2	1.2%	1.5%
Bangladeshi			
AIND	1	0.6%	0.75%
Indian			
AKPA	2	1.2%	1.5%
Kashmiri Pakisani			
AMPK	5	3.1%	3.75%
Mirpuri Pakistani			
AOPK	14	8.75%	10.5%







Other Pakistani			
AOTH	2	1.2%	1.5%
Any other Asian			
background			
BAOF	3	1.9%	2.2%
Other Black African			
BCRB	4	2.5%	3%
Black Caribbean			
ВОТН	1	0.6%	0.75%
Any other Black			
Background			
BSUD	1	0.6%	0.75%
Black – Sudanese			
COCH	1	0.6%	0.75%
Other Chinese			
MOTH	1	0.6%	0.75%
Any other Mixed			
Background			
MWAS	2	1.2%	1.5%
White and Asian			
MWBA	4	2.5%	3%
White and Black			
African			



Pupil and School Support



MWBC	7	4.4%	5.3%
IVIVVBC	,	4.4 /0	5.5 /6
White and Black			
Caribbean			
NOBT	5	3.1%	3.75%
NODI	O	0.170	0.7070
Information not			
Obtained			
Obtained			
OAFG	1	0.6%	0.75%
Afghan			
OOTH	1	0.6%	0.75%
Any other Ethnic			
group			
REFU	1	0.6%	0.75%
KEIO	ı	0.070	0.7570
Refused			
WBRI	10	6.25%	7.5%
White British			
WEEU	3	1.9%	2.2%
VVLLO	3	1.970	2.270
White Eastern			
European			
Luiopean			
WENG	53	33.1%	40%
White English			
14451		2.20/	
WIRI	1	0.6%	0.75%
White Irish			
AAIIIG IIIQII			
WOTH	6	3.75%	4.5%
		-	
Any other white			
	<u> </u>		







background			
WROM	7	4.4%	5.2%
Gypsy/Roma			
Data not provided*	21	13.1%	

EAL Census Code	Number of pupils
	identified
А	3
В	9
С	19
D	4
E	1
Total identified as EAL*	36
% of pilot identified as EAL of information provided	23%

Appendix Two - Tables of Results

Key to Colour

Red	No Progress
Blue	Unable to show progress
Green	Accelerated (closing the gap progress)

Table to show the number of bands progress made by pupils in the pilot







	Number of Pupils		
Number of Bands Progress on Birmingham Toolkit	Thread Phonics	Thread Understanding (optional) *70 results not provided	Thread Spelling
-2	1	0	0
-1	1	0	0
0	54	22	33
1	41	37	48
2	26	14	18
3	23	7	13
4	6	4	3
5	2	1	3
6	3	1	2
7	0	1	2
Total	157	87	157
% Making Accelerated progress	101/157 = 64.3%	65/87 = 74%	89/157 = 56.7%

Table to Show Progress made by Girls		
	Number of Pupils	







Number of Bands Progress on Birmingham Toolkit	Thread Phonics	Thread Understanding *14 results not provided	Thread Spelling
0	13	8	17
1	14	18	17
2	9	6	5
3	7	2	7
4	5	0	0
5	0	0	1
6	0	0	1
Total	48	34	48
% Making Accelerated progress	34/48 = 70.8%	26/34 = 76.5%	31/48 = 64.6%

Table to Show Progress made by Boys				
	Number of Pupils			
Number of Bands	Thread	Thread	Thread	
Progress on Birmingham	Phonics Understanding Spelling			
Toolkit		*46 results not		
		provided		
-2	1	0	0	







-1	1	0	0
0	39	14	44
1	25	19	29
2	13	8	12
3	15	5	6
4	1	4	3
5	2	1	2
6	2	1	1
7	0	1	2
Total	99	46	99
% Making Accelerated progress	60/99 = 60.6%	32/46 = 69.5%	55/99 = 55.6%

Table to Show	w Progress made by Pupils Identified as SEN Support Number of Pupils		
Number of Bands Progress on Birmingham Toolkit	Thread Phonics	Thread Understanding *38 pupils results not provided	Thread Spelling
-2	1	0	0
-1	0	0	0
0	39	20	46







1	31	32	34
2	16	9	13
3	17	6	10
4	3	3	3
5	2	1	2
6	2	1	1
7	0	1	2
Total	111	73	111
% Making	71/111 = 64%	53/73 = 73%	65/111 = 59%
Accelerated			
progress			

Table to Show Progress made by Pupils Identified as having an Education Health Care Plan			
		Number of Pupils	
Number of Bands Progress on Birmingham Toolkit	Thread Phonics	Thread Understanding *38 pupils results not provided	Thread Spelling
0	4	1	5
1	3	1	4
2	3	2	1
Total	10	4	10







% Making	6/10 = 60%	3/4 = 75%	5/10 = 50%
Accelerated			
progress			

Table to Show Progress made by Pupils Identified as not on the Code of Practice			
	Number of Pupils		
Number of Bands Progress on Birmingham Toolkit	Thread Phonics	Thread Understanding	Thread Spelling
-1	1	0	0
0	9	1	11
1	5	4	7
2	3	3	3
3	5	1	3
4	3	1	0
5	0	0	1
6	0	0	1
Total	26	10	26
% Making Accelerated progress	16/26 = 62%	9/10 = 90%	15/26 = 58%

Table to Show Progress made by Pupils Identified as Other Pakistani (AOPK)







	Number of Pupils		
Number of Bands Progress on Birmingham Toolkit	Thread Phonics	Thread Understanding	Thread Spelling
0	4	0	6
1	4	4	6
2	3	4	2
3	3	0	1
Total	14	8	14
% Making Accelerated progress	10/14 = 71%	8/8 = 100%	8/14 = 57%

Table to Show Progress made by Pupils Identified as White British/English (WBRI/WENG)				
		Number of Pupils		
Number of Bands Progress on Birmingham Toolkit	Thread Thread Thread Phonics Understanding Spelling			
-2	1	0	0	
-1	0	0	0	
0	25	24	32	
1	20	16	21	







2	4	6	4
3	6	4	3
4	5	0	0
5	0	0	0
6	0	0	1
Total	61	50	61
% Making	35/61 = 57%	26/50 = 52%	29/61 = 48%
Accelerated			
progress			

Table to Show Progress made by Pupils Identified as White and Black Caribbean (MWBC)			
		Number of Pupils	
Number of Bands Progress on Birmingham	Thread Phonics	Thread Understanding	Thread Spelling
Toolkit			
0	1	1	1
1	2	3	4
2	3	0	1
3	1	0	1
4	0	1	0
Total	7	5	7
% Making Accelerated	6/7 = 86%	4/5 = 80%	6/7 = 86%







I	progress		

Table to Show Progress made by Pupils Identified as Gypsy/Roma (WROM)				
		Number of Pupils		
Number of Bands	Thread	Thread	Thread	
Progress on Birmingham	Phonics	Understanding	Spelling	
Toolkit				
0	4	0	2	
1	0	2	2	
2	1	0	3	
3	2	1	0	
Total	7	3	7	
% Making	3/7 = 43%	3/3 = 100%	5/7 = 71%	
Accelerated progress				

Table to show the number of bands progress made pupils identified as Pupil			
Premium			
	Number of Pupils		
Number of Bands	Thread	Thread	Thread
Progress on Birmingham Toolkit	Phonics	Understanding	Spelling
0	30	13	34







1	21	21	21
2	12	6	8
3	5	4	4
4	1	2	2
5	2	1	2
6	2	1	0
7	0	0	2
Total*	73	48	73
% Making	43/73 = 59%	35/48 = 73%	39/73= 53%
Accelerated			
progress			

Table to show the	number of bands progress made pupils identified as EAL Number of Pupils		
Number of Bands Progress on Birmingham Toolkit	Thread Phonics	Thread Understanding	Thread Spelling
-1	1	0	0
0	13	1	14
1	10	8	13
2	6	5	7
3	5	1	2
4	1	0	0







Total	36	15	36
% Making	22/36 = 61%	14/15 = 93%	22/36 = 61%
Accelerated			
progress			

Appendix Three – Tables to compare progress made on the Language and Literacy Toolkit based on starting point

	Phonics Thread			
Pre Intervention	Numbers of pupils and the progress	% of pupils who		
Assessment	made	made accelerated		
Band		progress (1 band or		
		more)		
1	2 bands progress = 3 pupils	100%		
2	Unable to show progress = 3 pupils	3/6 = 50%		
	1 band progress = 2 pupils			
	3 bands progress = 1 pupil			
3	Unable to show progress = 2 pupils	5/7 = 71%		
	1 band progress = 1 pupil			
	2 bands progress = 2 pupils			
	4 bands progress = 2 pupils			
4	Unable to show progress = 6 pupils	6/12 = 50%		
	1 band progress – 2 pupils			
	2 bands progress = 3 pupils			
	3 bands progress = 1 pupil			







5	Unable to show progress = 6 pupils	5/11 = 45%
	1 bands progress = 3 pupils	
	2 bands progress = 2 pupils	
6	Unable to show progress = 7 pupils	11/18 = 61%
	1 bands progress = 4 pupils	
	2 bands progress = 5 pupils	
	3 bands progress = 2 pupils	
7	Unable to show progress = 3 pupils	20/23= 87%
	1 band progress = 8 pupils	
	2 bands progress = 1 pupil	
	3 bands progress = 8 pupils	
	4 bands progress = 2 pupils	
	5 bands of progress = 1 pupil	
8	Unable to show progress = 6 pupils	11/17= 65%
	1 band progress = 3 pupils	
	2 bands progress = 3 pupils	
	3 bands progress = 3 pupils	
	4 bands progress = 1 pupil	
	6 bands progress = 1 pupil	
9	Unable to show progress = 6 pupils	14/20 = 70%
	1 band progress = 5 pupils	
	2 bands progress = 3 pupils	







3 bands progress = 2 pupils	
a samas progress — 2 papilo	
4 bands progress = 1 pupil	
5 bands progress = 1 pupil	
6 bands progress = 2 pupils	
10 Unable to show progress = 3 p	upils 10/13 = 77%
1 band progress = 6 pupils	
2 bands progress = 2 pupils	
3 bands progress = 2 pupils	
11 Unable to show progress = 5 p	upils 3/8 = 38%
1 band progress = 1 pupil	
3 bands progress = 2 pupils	
12 Unable to show progress = 1 p	upil 3/4 = 75%
1 band progress = 1 pupil	
2 bands progress = 1 pupil	
3 bands progress = 1 pupil	
13 Unable to show progress = 3 p	upils 6/9 = 67%
1 bands progress = 4 pupils	
2 bands progress = 1 pupil	
3 bands progress = 1 pupil	
14 Unable to show progress = 2 p	upils 0%
15 1 band progress = 1 pupil	100%
16 1 pupil assessed at this level –	top of
band, no further tracked progre	







made.	

Understanding (Optional)		
Pre Intervention	Numbers of pupils and the progress	% of pupils who
Assessment	made	made accelerated
Band		progress (1 band or
		more)
1	No pupils entered at this band	
2	Unable to show progress = 1 pupil	2/3 = 67%
	1 bands progress = 1 pupil	
	4 bands progress = 1 pupil	
3	No pupils entered at this band	
4	No pupils entered at this band	
5	2 bands progress = 2 pupil	3/3 = 100%
	3 bands progress = 1 pupil	
6	No pupils entered at this band	
7	1 bands progress = 3 pupils	100%
	2 bands progress = 1 pupil	
	3 bands progress = 1 pupil	
	5 bands progress = 1 pupil	
	6 bands progress = 1 pupil	
	7 bands progress = 1 pupil	
8	Unable to show progress = 2 pupils	10/12 = 83%







	1 bands progress = 8 pupils	
	3 bands progress = 2 pupils	
9	Unable to show progress = 6 pupils	20/26 = 77%
	1 bands progress = 11 pupils	
	2 bands progress = 6 pupils	
	3 bands progress = 1 pupil	
	4 bands progress = 2 pupils	
10	Unable to show progress = 4 pupils	6/10 = 60%
	1 bands progress = 4 pupils	
	2 bands progress = 2 pupils	
11	Unable to show progress = 4 pupils	7/11= 64%
	1 bands progress = 5 pupils	
	3 bands progress = 1 pupil	
	4 bands progress = 1 pupil	
12	Unable to show progress = 5 pupils	8/13= 62%
	1 bands progress = 5 pupils	
	2 bands progress = 2 pupils	
	3 bands progress = 1 pupil	
13	2 bands progress = 1 pupil	100%
14	No pupils entered at this band	
15	No pupils entered at this band	
16	No pupils entered at this band	







(Top of band, no further tracked progress	
can be made).	

Spelling Thread		
Pre Intervention	Numbers of pupils and the progress	% of pupils who
Assessment	made	made accelerated
Band		progress (1 band or
		more)
1	No pupils entered at this band	
2	1 bands progress = 3 pupils	100%
	3 bands progress = 1 pupil	
3	Unable to show progress = 4 pupils	10/14 = 71%
	1 bands progress = 6 pupils	
	2 bands progress = 4 pupils	
4	Unable to show progress = 9 pupils	9/18 = 50%
	1 bands progress = 6 pupils	
	2 bands progress = 3 pupils	
5	Unable to show progress = 12 pupils	15/27= 56%
	1 bands progress = 10 pupils	
	2 bands progress = 1 pupils	
	3 bands progress = 4 pupil	
6	Unable to show progress = 6 pupils	7/13 = 54%
	1 bands progress = 4 pupils	







	2 bands progress = 2 pupils	
	6 bands progress = 1 pupil	
7	Unable to show progress = 10 pupils	14/24=58%
	1 bands progress = 5 pupils	
	2 bands progress = 3 pupils	
	3 bands progress = 3 pupils	
	4 bands progress = 2 pupils	
	5 bands progress = 1 pupil	
8	Unable to show progress = 11 pupils	19/30= 63%
	1 bands progress = 10 pupils	
	2 bands progress = 2 pupils	
	3 bands progress = 4 pupils	
	4 bands progress = 1 pupil	
	5 bands progress = 1 pupil	
	6 bands progress = 1 pupil	
9	Unable to show progress = 6 pupils	8/14= 57%
	1 bands progress = 2 pupils	
	2 bands progress = 3 pupils	
	3 bands progress = 1 pupil	
	7 bands progress = 2 pupils	
10	Unable to show progress = 2 pupils	2/4 = 50%
	1 bands progress = 1 pupil	







	5 bands progress = 1 pupil	
11	Unable to show progress = 6 pupils	2/8 = 25%
	1 bands progress = 1 pupil	
	3 bands progress = 1 pupil	
12	No pupils entered at this band	
13	No pupils entered at this band	
14	No pupils entered at this band	
15	No pupils entered at this band	
16	No pupils entered at this band	
	(Top of band, no further tracked progress	
	can be made).	